October 4, 2010 — 11 comments

Homosexuality & White Nationalism

Greg Johnson

1,523 words

French translation here, Finnish translation here

I must protest Andrew Westphal's "Homosexuality Ain't Cool" and other examples of queer-bashing on VNN. I have two arguments for why this is misguided and for why tolerance is a good thing for the White Nationalist movement.

First, homosexuality is beside the point.

Because of the distorting lens of the Jewish media, it is easy to think that all homosexuals are promoters of the Jewish agenda. And leftist gays really are repulsive. So it is tempting to make disparaging comments about them just because it is so politically incorrect and because it pisses off the right people. But this is a serious tactical mistake.

White Nationalism should be a one-issue political outlook. White Nationalism is for the interests of whites and against the interests of our racial enemies. Period. Anything else is beside the point. That means that White Nationalists must work to unite all whites into a self-conscious racial community, rallying around our common racial interests. White Nationalism has only one message for homosexuals: white homosexuals have more important interests in common with other whites than they do with non-white homosexuals. We have to resist falling for any form of the divide and conquer strategy used by our enemies to destroy our solidarity as a prelude to destroying our race. Battles between gays and straights, men and women, pagans and Christians, Nordics and Mediterraneans, Celts and WASPs, Germans and Slavs, etc. have no place in the White Nationalist movement. These will always be used by our enemies to divide and subvert us.

Intolerance of homosexuality does not just divide the white population, it divides the White Nationalist movement. Ernst Röhm was not the last homosexual to be attracted to White Nationalism. I have met a number of homosexuals in the contemporary White Nationalist movement, and I have my suspicions about a few others. All of these people, however, are intelligent and accomplished. They are real assets to the movement. Those without families are freer to speak their minds because they give fewer hostages to fortune. They also have more free time and more disposable income to devote to the cause. Quite a number of homosexual men do not fit the effeminate stereotype. They are masculine, and appreciate masculine things like facts, logic, and forthright action. And even effeminate gay men can make a real contribution. Pim Fortuyn was ideologically a mixed-bag, but he had the potential to move the Netherlands significantly to the right, and his fruity persona only helped his cause. The media found it difficult to paint a flamboyant old fop who fussed over floral arrangements and doted over his lapdogs as the next Hitler.

A unified White Nationalist movement does not require that all the different White Nationalist subgroups follow the same strategy. That would be counterproductive. The more different strategies pursued, the more chance that someone will hit on a winner. It does not require that all groups co-operate with one another either. It does not require that they like one another. It does not require that gays and straights share pup tents and take showers together. The minimum requirement for white unity is simply this: we all must focus our energies on pursuing our common goal by whatever path we choose, and we must resist wasting our time and energy on squabbles that divide us.

Second, intolerance of homosexuality is Jewish.

Westphal is apparently a Christian. If you ask Christians why they think homosexuality is a sin, they cannot point to any saying of Jesus. Jesus saw fit to condemn divorce but not sodomy. Christians have to turn to the Old Testament, to the record of the Jews and their wanderings, crimes, superstitions, and hatreds. There we find homosexuality condemned as a capital crime. Why? The whole aim of the Jewish law is to set Jews apart from the rest of humanity. The Jews condemned buggery because they and all their neighbors were engaging in it. Homosexual pederasty, which still remains a taboo in our culture, was widely practiced by the ancient Aryan peoples of the Mediterranean world. The Persians, Greeks, and Romans all practiced it, including some of the manliest men in history and legend, like Achilles and Alexander the Great.

Technically, the Greeks and others were not pedophiles, who pursue children, for they focused their attention on young men who were well past puberty and ready to begin military training. The ancients regarded homosexual relationships as completely consistent with marriage and family life, and they frowned upon men who formed exclusively homosexual relationships. Homosexual relationships were also bound by a host of rules and taboos. There was nothing of the modern amoral free-for-all. But there is no question that homosexual behavior was not only

tolerated by ancient Aryan peoples, it was considered normal, in some cases even ideal. It was ascribed to the gods (Zeus and Ganymede) and lauded by poets, philosophers, and historians. It is hard to maintain hateful Jewish attitudes toward homosexuality if one really understands and appreciates the greatness of classical pagan civilization.

As poisonous as the Old Testament's moral condemnation of homosexuality may be, it is based on a realistic conception of human nature. Judeo-Christianity condemns homosexuality as a sin. A sin is a matter of choice. And nobody is immune to sin. If a heterosexual is a person who is immune to homosexual attractions, then the Judeo-Christian viewpoint implies that there is no such thing as a heterosexual. If a homosexual is a person who cannot help but be attracted to people of the same sex and has no choice in the matter, then the Judeo-Christian viewpoint implies that there is no such thing as a homosexual either. There are just people, all of whom have the capacity to be tempted by homosexual attractions and to choose heterosexual attractions. Thus there is no room for moral self-righteousness.

Matters became worse in the late nineteenth century, when psychologists—some but not all of them Jews—created a new paradigm for understanding sexuality. There were no longer homosexual and heterosexual desires, which can be found in all people and can be controlled by our faculty of choice. There were now homosexual and heterosexual people, and what made a person one or the other was generally thought to lie outside of our choice and control. One's sexual proclivities suddenly became a whole "lifestyle," a whole "identity," giving sex an inflated importance in the scheme of things. It was not long before Freud started speculating that the whole soul can be understood in terms of sexuality. This new and false conception of sexuality has caused immense suffering and damage to our race.

First of all, it has created a great deal of anxiety for men and women who experience homosexual attractions at one time or another. In pagan societies, these desires could be acknowledged, understood, and even expressed if one chose to. In Judeo-Christian society, such desires were repressed, but their mere presence said nothing more about one's identity than one is a sinner and subject to temptation—just like everybody else. Today, homosexual desires cause great anxiety and psychological anguish. People worry if they fall into a small and stigmatized sexual subspecies, totally different from the rest of humanity. A young man gets aroused wrestling with a friend and suddenly has a psychological crisis on his hands. He wonders if he is sick. He feels alienated from his family and peers. He wonders if he will have to move to the city and buy a feather boa.

Second, this anxiety has chilled same-sex friendships and male bonding, and it is the bonded male group, the *Männerbund*, that is the foundation of all higher forms of civilization, particularly Aryan civilizations. It is amazing to read accounts of male friendships from earlier centuries, for example in Augustine's *Confessions* or Montaigne's *Essays*. It was possible for men to frankly express their love for one another without fearing the stigma of homosexuality,

because that was an identity that simply did not exist before the late nineteenth century. (Today, these expressions of affection are read through the distorting lens of "queer theory," and Augustine and Montaigne and countless other figures have been "outed.")

Third, those who decide that they do not merely have homosexual desires, but are "homosexuals" are trapped by this self-concept into an exclusively homosexual lifestyle, which not only carries health risks, but also prevents them from affirming whatever heterosexual desires they might also have. It cuts them off from marriage and family life, which could be combined with homosexual relationships openly in pagan societies and on the sly in Judeo-Christian societies.

Fourth, it has created the heterosexual, who thinks he is immune from same-sex attractions. This allows some heterosexuals to fuse Jewish intolerance with self-righteousness, turning them into queer-bashing bullies.

All these destructive consequences could be alleviated if we freed our minds from the legacy of Jewish hatred and intolerance. Queer-bashers are in the grip of Jewry without even knowing it. White Nationalism requires that we de-Jew our thinking, but many White Nationalists have no idea of just what a radical change of outlook that requires.

"F. C. I. Clarke," *Vanguard News Network*, June 28, 2002

Enjoyed this article?

Be the first to leave a tip in the jar!

Instant Echeck GreenPay™

$ 1 - + Go!

I want to leave my name up here!

RELATED

Remembering Yukio Mishima

Remembering Jack London

Remembering Thomas Dixon Jr.

Poetic Justice

The Venezuela Adventure

Casey's Putsch

Leonarda Jonie: Woman of the Year

Looking Backward, Looking Forward

TAGS

Christianity *Greg Johnson* *homosexuality* *the Jewish question* *the Männerbund* *white nationalism*

11 comments

1

Lt. Greyman, NVA
June 28, 2015 at 7:49 pm

Sir,

I object to homosexuality on rational grounds only.

It damages the anal sphincter, it uniquely transfers horrible diseases such as anal warts, AIDS/HIV, Homosexual Bowel Syndrome, Bowel mucosa infections, Bowel cancer (Which Farah Majors died of), and literally hundreds of of other visual and bacterial infections into the recipients bowel; and organ not designed for the abuse given.

It is easy to find it repulsive merely on sanitary grounds, without having to appeal to a God or moral standings of Culture. That it is done between man and woman is appalling and every effort should be done to curtail the practice through education, medical advisory and social pressure, as with smoking.

That it is done between men to merely get their jollies seems clearly a form of mental illness, a thing also found in nature but not helpful in reproductive success.

Ex Gladio Libertas!

Reply

josephs0002
January 1, 2026 at 2:05 pm

You are conflating male homosexuality with anal sex. Do a lot of “gay men” in the modern (Jew-influenced) era engage in it? Absolutely, but it isn’t as if one is required to engage in anal sex simply because they find other men sensually or romantically appealing. Anal sex isn’t even a behavior exclusive to “gay men”. Plenty of women and heterosexual men participate in it.

You are completely correct to mention the risks and general filth which comes from anal sex, however there are more ways for two men to be intimate with one another. Plenty of “gay” men find anal sex repulsive and thus do not engage in it.

“Sword fighting” is a real thing.

Reply

2 **mark duff**
June 28, 2015 at 9:13 pm

This is one of the finest contributions to this issue that has been so controversial…

Reply

3 **Alex Linder**
October 11, 2015 at 2:05 pm

You’re a queer, Greggy. Give up trying to gain acceptance in our movement. You don’t belong. Your kind doesn’t belong.

Reply

Greg Johnson
October 11, 2015 at 2:27 pm

I wrote this article more than 13 years ago, and you published it, Alex.

Why, exactly, did you publish it?

A lot has changed since then. This is as much my movement as it is yours now, perhaps more so. That is why you are trying to get noticed here at Counter-Currents, right? But Vantards don’t belong here. I don’t accept you.

You were doing great things back in 2001-2003, when I wrote for you. I think I did some great work for VNN. Where is the respect? Where is the gratitude?

What happened to you over the last decade? Why did you betray your talents and waste your potential?

Reply

AE
November 5, 2015 at 4:55 pm

I'd certainly go with the "more so".

Reply

antiochus
August 29, 2016 at 1:12 pm

I was a frequent reader of vnn in the past, and I admire your extreme stances on many of our issues, but here I would say that this subject matter, because of its complexity, requires intellectual acumen beyond the ravings of what we'd expect from an orthodox rabbi or Muslim imam. Intolerance is an abrahamic, not an Aryan virtue.

Reply

4

The real A. Linder
October 12, 2015 at 9:37 am

Someone alerted me to this, possibly the person who posted under my name.

For the record, I didn't leave that comment.

Now, since I'm here (I don't read here often, let alone comment, since GJ was too cowardly to tolerate my criticism the one time I tried), read this, [snip]

Reply

Greg Johnson EDITOR INSIDER TOP 100
October 12, 2015 at 12:59 pm

The person who posted the comment in question also posted a fake comment of a similar tenor in Billy Roper's name a while back.

His IP address is 76.167.34.105, which is in Desert Hot Springs, California. The only person I know in that area is Ward Kendall. Maybe he can help you track down the culprit.

RE my "cowardice": ironically enough, you are not banned from commenting here, but I am banned at VNN Forum. Once that ban has been lifted, you can comment here further.

Reply

5

AndrewR

November 5, 2015 at 3:16 pm

I also find it baffling why so many WNs are so hostile to homosexuality. Certainly our society's rabid pro-homosexuality is not remotely healthy, at least insofar as it promotes degenerate behavior. But why are so many WNs against letting gays even live their lives quietly? Even if one wanted to literally exterminate every last homosexual alive, it is obviously not smart politics to use rabidly anti-gay rhetoric in 2015. One will lose far more potential allies than one could gain. But I suppose anyone insane enough to be rabidly anti-gay is also too insane to realize that expressing such sentiments is not a wise move at this point in time. White nationalism is doomed unless these fools can be marginalized, but they seem to make up a large part of the movement.

Reply

6

Erick Parker

August 30, 2016 at 2:54 am

THANK YOU MR. JOHNSON!

My apologies for the all caps, but I think they were warranted in this case. Homosexuality is simply a biological fact, part of the naturally occurring spectrum of personalities and desires; it wouldn't have evolved with us if it didn't serve some kind of survival purpose.

I only wonder how common your understanding is among WNs. From perusing various forums and websites, I can only conclude: not very. And that is holding the whole group back, trapping a lot of would-be creators in the kind of disintegrating hate that liberals think all non-liberals have. And that's one of the reasons why I can't call myself among WNs even though my heart has so much in common with them.

Maybe, as Christianity continues to fade and paganism continues to assert itself, anti-homos will fade also. Hopefully.

Reply

If you have a **Subscriber access**,
simply login first to see your comment auto-approved.

→ Log in

Post a comment

Name *

Email *

Save my name and email in this browser for the next time I comment.

Post Comment

NOTE ON COMMENTS PRIVACY & MODERATION

Your email is *never* published nor shared.

Comments are moderated. **If you don't see your comment, please be patient**. If approved, it will appear here soon. Do not post your comment a second time.

Copyright © 2026 Counter-Currents Publishing, Ltd.